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**I. Source Code**

import java.util.Scanner;

public class Prime {

public static volatile boolean isPrime = true;

public static long num = 111111151111111L;

public static long sqrt = (long) Math.sqrt(num);

public static long nThreads;

public static volatile long threadCtr = 0;

public static void main(String args[]) {

System.out.print("ENTER: ");

Scanner sc = new Scanner(System.in);

int exp = sc.nextInt();

nThreads = (long) Math.pow(2, exp);

sc.close();

long ave = 0;

long aveM = 0;

for(int x = 0; x < 5; x++ ) {

threadCtr = 0;

long time = System.currentTimeMillis();

long range = sqrt / nThreads;

long curStart = 2;

long curEnd = range;

for(int i=0; i<nThreads; i++){

new Thread(new Checker(curStart, curEnd)).start();

curStart = curEnd+1;

curEnd += range;

}

while(true){

if( threadCtr == nThreads) {

break;

}

}

System.out.println(isPrime);

Runtime rt = Runtime.getRuntime();

double used = (rt.totalMemory() - rt.freeMemory()) / 1024.0 / 1024.0;

System.out.println("used memory: " + used + " MB");

aveM += used;

time = System.currentTimeMillis() - time;

System.out.println((time / 1000.0) + " seconds\n");

ave += time;

}

System.out.println("Average Memory: " + aveM / 5.0 + " MB");

System.out.println("Average Time: " + (ave / 5000.0 ) + " seconds");

}

public static synchronized void update(boolean result) {

Prime.isPrime = Prime.isPrime && result;

Prime.threadCtr++;

}

static class Checker implements Runnable {

private long start;

private long end;

public Checker(long start, long end) {

this.start = start;

this.end = end;

}

public void run() {

boolean tempIsPrime = true;

for(long i = start; i <= end; i++){

if(num % i == 0){

tempIsPrime = false;

break;

}

}

update(tempIsPrime);

}

}

}

**II. Data Gathering**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **x** | **Average Execution Time out of Five Trials for 2x Threads (in seconds)** |
| 0 | 0.5402 |
| 1 | 0.2952 |
| 2 | 0.2642 |
| 3 | 0.2818 |
| 4 | 0.2162 |
| 5 | 0.2416 |
| 6 | 0.2392 |
| 7 | 0.3902 |
| 8 | 0.4742 |
| 9 | 0.6036 |
| 10 | 0.6478 |
| 11 | 1.0128 |

**Table 1 – Average Execution Time For Various Thread Counts**

<text here>

Correlation Coefficient: 0.6505

**Figure 1 – Graph of Average Execution Time For Various Thread Counts**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **x** | **Average Memory out of Five Trials for 2x Threads (in MB)** |
| 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 2 |
| 4 | 2.4 |
| 5 | 2.8 |
| 6 | 2.8 |
| 7 | 3 |
| 8 | 3 |
| 9 | 3.2 |
| 10 | 4 |
| 11 | 6 |

**Table 2 – Average Memory For Various Thread Counts**

Correlation Coefficient: 0.8498

**Figure 2 – Graph of Average Memory Usage For Various Thread Counts**

**III. Analysis and Conclusion**

Whats gonna work? Teamwork. <3